

## CSCI3610: Special Exercise Set 3

**Problem 1.** If we run the activity-selection algorithm taught in the class on the following input:  
 $S = \{[1, 10], [2, 22], [3, 23], [20, 30], [25, 45], [40, 50], [47, 62], [48, 63], [60, 70]\}$   
what is the set of intervals returned?

**Problem 2.** The following is another greedy algorithm for the activity selection problem. Initialize an empty  $T$ , and then repeat the following steps until  $S$  is empty:

- (Step 1) Add to  $T$  the interval  $I$  with the shortest length.
- (Step 2) Remove from  $S$  the interval  $I$ , and all the intervals overlapping with  $I$ .

Finally, return  $T$  as the answer.

Prove: the above algorithm does not always return an optimal solution.

**Problem 3 (Fractional Knapsack).** Let  $(w_1, v_1), (w_2, v_2), \dots, (w_n, v_n)$  be  $n$  pairs of positive real values. Given a real value  $W \leq \sum_{i=1}^n w_i$ , we want to find  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  to maximize the *objective function*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x_i}{w_i} \cdot v_i$$

subject to

- $0 \leq x_i \leq w_i$  for every  $i \in [1, n]$ ;
- $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \leq W$ .

W.l.o.g., assume that  $v_1 \geq v_2 \geq \dots \geq v_n$ . Consider the algorithm that works as follows.

1. **for**  $i \leftarrow 1$  **to**  $n$  **do**
2.      $x_i \leftarrow \min\{W, w_i\}$
3.      $W \leftarrow W - x_i$

Prove: the above algorithm does not always returns an optimal solution.

**Problem 4 (0-1 Knapsack).** Suppose that there are  $n$  gold bricks, where the  $i$ -th piece weighs  $p_i$  pounds and is worth  $d_i$  dollars. Given a positive integer  $W$ , our goal is to find a set  $S$  of gold bricks such that

- the total weight of the bricks in  $S$  is at most  $W$ , and
- the total value of the bricks in  $S$  is maximized (among all the sets  $S$  satisfying the first condition).

Assuming  $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$ , let us consider the following greedy algorithm:

1.  $S = \emptyset$
2. **for**  $i = 1$  **to**  $n$
3.     **if**  $p_i \leq W$  **then**
4.         add  $p_i$  to  $S$ ;  $W \leftarrow W - p_i$

Prove: the above algorithm does not guarantee finding the desired set  $S$ .